Title:	Ethical Conduct for Research involving Humans
Category:	
Policy No.:	B5007
Replaces:	New Policy
Applicability:	All Employees, Students and other Individuals, either paid or volunteer, involved in Research or Scholarly Activity at or through Langara College.
Effective Date:	July 21, 2011
Source(s):	
Approval:	
	President

PREAMBLE

m·. 1

Langara College is committed to ensuring the highest level of ethical standards in research involving humans and to seeing that their safety, health, welfare, dignity, and rights are adequately protected. Langara College recognizes that the ethical treatment of humans in research shall be guided by three core principles: respect for persons, concern for welfare, and justice.

Langara College shall regulate and monitor all research involving humans conducted at Langara College. It will do so through the Langara College Research Ethics Board (hereafter: LC-REB).

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1 Langara College recognizes the importance of research to educational progress, and affirms that the welfare of the individual or collective must prevail over the researcher's involvement of human subjects for that purpose. The College has a responsibility to ensure that the activities it supports respect the rights of the public it serves.
- 1.2 This policy delineates Langara College's position on the involvement of human subjects in research. The procedural guidelines and the LC-REB's responsibilities outlined below are offered to assist the researcher in:
 - 1.2.1 Determining whether contemplated research requires ethical review;

- 1.2.2 Determining who will be responsible for ethical review, the LC-REB or Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel.
- 1.2.3 Ensuring that the highest ethical standards are upheld for research involving humans.
- 1.3 It is the intention of Langara College, where research activities involving humans are carried out under its purview, to ensure that:
 - 1.3.1 The safety, welfare and rights of research subjects (including cultural groups) are adequately protected through due application of the core ethical principles of respect for persons, concern for welfare, and justice;
 - 1.3.2 Information communicated to subjects is appropriate to ensure an informed consent is obtained from subjects:
 - 1.3.3 Subjects are made aware that their participation is voluntary and that they have the right to withdraw from the research or study at any time;
 - 1.3.4 Steps are taken to ensure confidentiality and protection of privacy; and
 - 1.3.5 There is no undue influence, coercion, constraint or undue inducement to participate.

2. DEFINITIONS

"Deception": Deception is a situation in which information is withheld from research subjects that is material to their decision to participate, or to continue participating, in a study, and/or they are intentionally misled about any matter related to the research, including procedures and purposes.

"Human Subject" and "Human Participant": The terms "subject" and "participant" refer to those individuals whose data, or responses to interventions, stimuli or questions by the researcher, are relevant to answering the research question. In this policy, we shall use the term "subject" to refer to individuals who are involved in research as just described. Researchers may use either "subject" or "participant." Human subjects refer to living individuals and also to groups of individuals (for example, social, ethnic, religious, or economic groups). Also included are human biological materials (tissues, organs, blood, plasma, skin, serum, DNA, RNA, proteins, cells, hair, nail clippings, urine, saliva, and other body fluids) as well as human embryos, fetuses, fetal tissue, reproductive materials and stem cells.

"**Informed Consent**": An informed consent to participate in research is made:

- by a competent individual;
- on the basis of adequate information regarding the nature and foreseeable consequences of the research (as these are known at the time the request is made) and all available alternatives; and
- without undue or controlling influences such as 'force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior forms of constraint or coercion' (adapted from the *National Council of Bioethics in Human Research*).

"Minimal Risk Research": Research in which the probability and magnitude of possible harms implied by participation in the research is no greater than those encountered by subjects in those aspects of their everyday life that relate to the research.

"Research": An undertaking intended to extend knowledge through a disciplined inquiry or systematic investigation.

"Vulnerable Populations": Individuals or groups where a power differential could operate to their disadvantage as subjects (for example, students, minors, prisoners, employees, military personnel, disadvantaged minority groups, incapacitated people, individuals with cognitive impairments or intellectual disabilities, and the socially-deprived).

3. AUTHORITY

3.1 Related Acts and Regulations

<u>Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (TCPS2)</u>
B.C. Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

3.2 Related Policies

B3004 – Integrity in Scholarly Activity

B3005 – Conflict of Interest Related to Research

B3008 – Human Rights

B5001 – Access to Information

4. RELATIONSHIPS WITH COLLECTIVE AGREEMENTS

Langara Faculty Association Collective Agreement

5. GUIDELINES

- 5.1 Research subject to ethical review by the LC-REB:
 - 5.1.1 Unless specifically excluded under Item 5.3 below, any research conducted by an individual in their capacity as a paid Langara College employee involving a) living human subjects, or b) research on human biological materials and human embryos, fetuses, fetal tissue, reproductive materials and stem cells (this applies to materials derived from living and deceased individuals). Ethics review and approval is mandatory prior to the commencement of the research.
 - 5.1.2 All student research that falls within 5.1.1 a) or b) that meets any of the following criteria:

- 5.1.2.1 Is not part of a course requirement;
- 5.1.2.2 Is part of a researcher's own research program, whether or not it is part of a course requirement.
- 5.1.3 All research involving a) living human subjects, or b) research on human biological materials and human embryos, fetuses, fetal tissue, reproductive materials and stem cells (this applies to materials derived from living and deceased individuals), or c) student research under 5.1.2 proposed by outside educational institutions/community agencies to be carried out at Langara College.
- 5.2 Research subject to ethical review by a Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel:
 - 5.2.1 Student research projects (i.e., minimal risk course-based research) involving a) living human subjects, or b) research on human biological materials and human embryos, fetuses, fetal tissue, reproductive materials and stem cells (this applies to materials derived from living and deceased individuals), with the exception of that research noted in Item 5.1.2 (above).
- 5.3 Research not subject to ethical review by the LC-REB. The following kinds of research are specifically exempted from the need for ethical review by the LC-REB.
 - 5.3.1 Research that relies exclusively on publicly available information when:
 (a) the information is legally accessible to the public and appropriately protected by law; or (b) the information is publicly accessible and there is no reasonable expectation of privacy.
 - 5.3.2 Research involving the observation of people in public places where: (a) it does not involve any intervention staged by the researcher, or direct interaction with the individuals or groups; (b) individuals or groups targeted for observation have no reasonable expectation of privacy; and (c) any dissemination of research results does not allow identification of specific individuals.
 - 5.3.3 Research that relies exclusively on secondary use of anonymous information, or anonymous human biological materials, so long as the process of data linkage or recording or dissemination of results does not generate identifiable information. ("Anonymous" means that the information or materials never had personal identifiers associated with them.)
 - 5.3.4 Quality assurance and quality improvement studies, program evaluation activities, and performance reviews, or testing within normal educational requirements when used exclusively for assessment, management or improvement purposes, do not constitute research for the purposes of this Policy, and do not fall within the scope of LC-REB review. These include:

- (a) Questionnaires concerning teaching performance or course content distributed to a class by instructors, Deans or others;
- (b) Research conducted to meet external reporting requirements or to facilitate the management of the institution.
- If data are collected for the purposes under 5.3.4 but later proposed for research purposes, it would be considered secondary use of information not originally intended for research, and at that time may require LC-REB review in accordance with this policy. See *TCPS2* Section D of Chapter 5 for guidance concerning secondary use of identifiable information for research purposes.
- 5.5 Creative practice activities (i.e., processes through which an artist makes or interprets a work or works of art or studies the process of generating a work of art), in and of themselves, do not require LC-REB review. However, research that employs creative practice to obtain responses from individuals that will be analyzed to answer a research question is subject to LC-REB review.
- 5.6 If a researcher is uncertain whether contemplated research does or does not require approval under this policy, the researcher shall consult with the Chair of the LC-REB. See *TCPS2* Articles 2.22.6 and related discussion for clarification.

6 PROTOCOL FOR REVIEW

- 6.1 The LC-REB requires that an Application for Ethics Approval for Research Involving Humans as set out in Schedule "A" here be completed for all proposed research involving humans.
- 6.2 An Application for Ethics Approval for Research Involving Humans shall be submitted to the LC-REB which shall review the Form and proposal and make one of the following decisions:
 - 6.2.1 **Approval** A certificate of approval is issued and the research may begin (The LC-REB may include minor requests for information or suggestions with this approval), or
 - 6.2.2 **Provisos** Some concerns need to be addressed before approval can be given. The LC-REB may authorize its chair to issue a certificate of approval once the concerns have been satisfactorily addressed, or
 - 6.2.3 Preliminary Approval (to release funds to commence a project) –
 Projects that require ethical review to obtain research funds with which
 to develop infrastructure for a research project involving humans or to
 develop a questionnaire or survey (etc.) may receive preliminary approval
 with the understanding that any part of the research dealing with
 humans cannot commence until the LC-REB has formally approved a
 final research proposal.
 - 6.2.4 **Deferral** Based on documentation provided the LC-REB is unable to make a final decision (this may involve concerns about fundamental

ethical issues regarding the research, including basic concerns about methodology). The decision is deferred for later full board review at such time as the investigators submit the supplementary information or documentation as specified by the LC-REB, or

6.2.5 **Rejection.**

- 6.3. The Chair of the LC-REB will transmit, as quickly as possible, in writing to the researcher in charge a decision on the request for approval. Where approval is given, the statement is to identify the specific researcher and project approved and shall be in the form as set out in Schedule E attached hereto.
- 6.4. Delegated Review.
 - 6.4.1 Full board review is the default requirement for research involving humans, however, an applicant may request from the Chair a delegated review for minimal risk research, and the Chair will consider the request based on the following aspects of the proposal:
 - 6.4.1.1 Rationale
 - 6.4.1.2 Protection of subject confidentiality
 - 6.4.1.3 Vulnerable Populations
 - 6.4.1.4 Whether the research is minimal risk

In the case of such delegated reviews, every effort will be made to transmit a decision within ten (10) working days.

- 6.4.2 Research involving waivers or alteration to elements of informed consent, including deception, shall not be eligible for delegated review.
- 6.4.3 Delegated review may also be permitted for minimal risk changes to approved research, annual renewal of approved minimal risk research, annual renewals of more than minimal risk research where the research will no longer involve new interventions to current subjects, renewal does not involve recruitment of new subjects, and the remaining activities are limited to data analysis.
- 6.4.4 Delegated review and approval can be provided by the Chair, or by another LC-REB member delegated by the chair or by an LC-REB subcommittee. Such review will be well-documented and reported to the full LC-REB.
- 6.4.5 Delegated reviewers retain the prerogative to refer any research proposal or matter related to their reviews to the full LC-REB for review or consideration.
- 6.5 All forms once completed and either approved or rejected will be submitted to and stored by the Office of the President. See 8.4.5 below.

Page 6 of 19

- Researchers shall maintain comprehensive records regarding their research, including documentation of all submissions to the LC-REB, for a minimum of five (5) years following completion of the research or termination of the research by the LC-REB, or as required by law, whichever is greater.
- 6.7 Guidelines for Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panels:
 - 6.7.1 Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panels have the authority to conduct ethical review of course-based research involving humans as per agreements reached under 8.6 below.
 - 6.7.2 The Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall transmit, as quickly as possible and in writing, a decision on those requests for approval for research projects in their purview. Where approval is given, the statement is to identify the student, the course instructor and the project approved, and shall be in the form as set out in Schedule D attached here to. Where approval is not given, the Review Panel shall provide written reasons to the student and/or instructor.
 - 6.7.3 All forms for course-based research projects once completed and either approved or rejected will be submitted to and stored by the Office of the Dean under which the Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel operates. The Chair of the LC-REB will be given access to an Application for Ethics Approval for Research Involving Humans as set out in Schedule A attached here to.
 - 6.7.4 The records of the Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall be stored by the Office of the Dean under which the Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel operates and be retained for a minimum of five (5) years following completion of the research or termination of the research by the LC-LC-REB, or as required by law, whichever is greater.

7 RIGHTS TO REVIEW AND APPEAL

- 7.1 Investigators submitting research proposals that are not approved have the right to request a review of a decision by the full membership of the LC-REB.

 Researchers may do so by submitting a letter in writing to the Chair of the LC-REB, providing a rationale for their request for review.
- 7.2 If a request for a review is unsuccessful in resolving the disagreement, the researcher has the right to a formal appeal of the LC-REB's decision. Upon application by a researcher for a formal appeal of an LC-REB decision, the President shall refer the matter to an appeal committee. The President may either refer the matter to an appeal committee at another institution or may establish a special Research Ethics Appeal Board to hear the appeal. In either case, no member of the LC-REB whose decision is being appealed may be a member of the Board that hears the appeal. If the matter is referred to another

institution, that institution must have a Research Ethics Policy and Board whose operations are compliant with the Tri-Council Policy Statement and Langara must have a prior agreement in place with that institution to refer appeals under this policy. In either case, the decision of a properly constituted appeal Board shall be final.

8 RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD'S MANDATE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- 8.1 The LC-REB has the responsibility to:
 - 8.1.1 Ensure that no research involving humans proceeds without prior ethical review and approval by the LC-REB, as described in 5.1 above.
 - 8.1.2 Consult with and provide feedback to the appropriate Dean regarding proposals for the establishment, or to amend the on-going operation, of Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panels. See section 8.6.2.
 - 8.1.3 Review on an on-going basis for their ethical content all Langara College policies and procedures affecting research involving humans and report any issues requiring attention to the appropriate individual or body.
 - 8.1.4 Maintain a list of all active projects approved by the LC-REB.
 - 8.1.5 Review, at its discretion, on-going projects according to the projects' schedules (through interviews, written updates from investigators, or other means) so that the LC-REB is assured that approved research is being conducted according to this policy. Ongoing research shall be subject to continuing review. The rigour of the review shall be in accordance with a proportionate approach to ethics assessment. As part of each research proposal submitted for LC-REB review, the researcher shall propose to the LC-REB the continuing review process deemed appropriate for that project. Normally, continuing review should consist of at least the submission of a succinct annual status report to the LC-REB. The LC-REB should be promptly notified when a project concludes.
 - 8.1.6 Maintain current copies of statutes, regulations, policies and guidelines pertaining to research involving human subjects, and help relevant members of the College community to become familiar with them.
 - 8.1.7 Investigate reports of non-compliance with this policy and procedures or complaints of improper research involving human subjects.
 - 8.1.8 Prepare, maintain and retain comprehensive records, including (but not limited to):
 - 8.1.8.1 Minutes of meetings, including a record of LC-REB members' attendance, deliberations and decisions,
 - 8.1.8.2 Copies of all applications for research approval and related documentation,

- 8.1.8.4 Written reasons regarding the acceptance or rejection of applications, and
- 8.1.8.5 Records of investigation of complaints or reports of non-compliance with this policy and procedure.
- 8.1.9 Promote awareness of the highest ethical standards in research involving humans throughout Langara College by meeting with Departments, instructors, staff, students and by promoting research ethics education at Langara College through offering workshops, symposia, public lectures, and other events.

8.2 LC-REB Membership

- 8.2.1 The LC-REB shall consist of at least five (5) members, including both men and women, who serve staggered two year renewable terms to maintain continuity and ensure diversity of background and expertise.
- 8.2.2 All appointments are made by the President in consultation with the Chair of Education Council according to the following criteria:
 - 8.2.2.1 At least two (2) members who have broad expertise in the methods or areas of research covered by the LC-REB;
 - 8.2.2.2 At least one member who is knowledgeable in ethics
 - 8.2.2.3 For biomedical research, at least one member knowledgeable in the relevant law; this is advisable but not mandatory for other areas of research:
 - 8.2.2.4 At least one (1) community member who has no affiliation with Langara College drawn from the Langara College region.
- 8.2.3 The President in consultation with the Chair of the Education Council shall, on a bi-annual basis, appoint one (1) member of the LC-REB as Chair to serve a two year renewable term.
- 8.2.4 There shall be only one research ethics board established at Langara College.

8.3 Conflicts of Interest

- 8.3.1 The LC-REB shall adhere to the "conflict of interest" guidelines as outlined in Chapter 7 of *TCPS2* and as outlined in Langara College's Conflict of Interest Related to Research Policy.
- 8.3.2 Members of the LC-REB will disclose any actual, perceived or potential personal interest in research presented to the LC-REB and shall be absent during discussion or decision making when these proposals are reviewed.
- 8.3.3 The LC-REB will analyze disclosures of conflicts of interest and will ensure that researchers inform subjects, including potential subjects,

during the free and informed consent process of any potential or real conflicts.

Page 9 of 19

- 8.3.4 Conflicts of interest will be managed proportionately. Where conflicts are unavoidable the ethics review process will be more stringent.
- 8.3.5 Members of the LC-REB will not be present when their own research is reviewed. As well, they will disclose disputes, conflicts, or collaborations with researchers whose research is being reviewed, so that the LC-REB can make a determination as to whether they may participate in the review.

8.4 Authority, Independence, and Accountability

- 8.4.1 The LC-REB is established by, and is accountable, to the President of Langara College.
- 8.4.2 The LC-REB will provide to the President of Langara College, on an annual basis, a report which summarizes its activity for the year.
- 8.4.3 The LC-REB acts independently, and at arm's-length from the administration of Langara College, thereby maintaining its autonomy over ethical questions even when the institution has a strong interest in seeing a project approved.
- 8.4.4 The Office of the President, in consultation with the Chair of the LC-REB, shall appoint a "Research Ethics Administrator" who shall perform managerial and administrative support functions related to the operations of the LC-REB. The Research Ethics Administrator shall not be a voting member of the LC-REB but shall attend LC-REB meetings and act as its principal organizational resource person.
- 8.4.5 The records of the LC-REB shall be kept by the Office of the President which shall retain these records for a minimum of five (5) years or as required by law, whichever is greater. For records pertaining to specific research projects, these will be kept for a minimum of five (5) years following the following completion of the research or termination of the research by the LC-REB, or as required by law, whichever is greater.
- 8.4.6 The Office of the President shall maintain records related to LC-REB membership, including qualifications of members and relevant research ethics training.

8.5 Meetings of the LC-REB, Quorum and Votes

- 8.5.1 The LC-REB shall establish and publish a schedule of meetings for each calendar year, including a schedule of deadlines for submissions to be considered at each meeting.
- 8.5.2 The Chair of the LC-REB shall ensure that LC-REB members have at least two (2) day's notice of any meeting and that copies of all documents to be considered at the meeting are provided with the notice.
- 8.5.3 A quorum of the LC-REB will be at least five (5) members. The quorum shall possess the range of expertise required by 8.2.2.

- 8.5.4 The LC-REB's review of research proposals shall be based on detailed research proposals or, where applicable, progress reports.
- 8.5.5 The LC-REB shall function impartially, provide a fair hearing to those involved, and provide reasoned and appropriately documented opinions and decisions.
- 8.5.6 Researchers have the right to request, and REBs have an obligation to provide prompt reconsideration of decisions affecting a research project. When the LC-REB is considering a negative decision, it shall provide the researcher with all the reasons for doing so and give the researcher an opportunity to reply before reconsideration and making a final decision. (See *TCPS2* Articles 6.19 6.20)
- 8.5.7 Every effort will be made to reach a decision by consensus; only when necessary will decisions be made by a simple majority vote.
- 8.5.8 All decisions will be recorded in the minutes.
- 8.5.9 Every effort will be made to review proposals at face-to-face meetings.

 However, if necessary, the LC-REB may make decisions via a telephone or email vote organized by the Chair, provided that:
 - 8.5.9.1 The research to be reviewed is of minimal risk.
 - 8.5.9.2 Does not involve deception or vulnerable populations, or waiver or alteration to the elements of informed consent,
 - 8.5.9.3 The rule requiring two (2) day's notice is followed,
 - 8.5.9.4 All LC-REB members are sent copies of all the relevant documentation,
 - 8.5.9.5 All members vote, and
 - 8.5.9.6 There is no dissenting vote.
- 8.5.10 Decisions taken via a telephone or email should be noted on the agenda and included in the minutes of the next formal meeting.
- 8.5.11 For purposes of clarification, the LC-REB shall accommodate reasonable requests from researchers to participate in discussions about their proposals, but shall not be present when the LC-REB is making its decision.
- 8.5.12 Minutes of all LC-REB meetings shall be prepared and maintained by the Director of Research Ethics or designate. The minutes shall clearly document the Committee's decisions and any dissents and the reasons for them. Minutes are accessible to authorized representatives of the institution, researchers and funding agencies.
- 8.5.13 The LC-REB may consult ad hoc advisors in the event that it lacks the specific expertise or knowledge to review the ethical acceptability of a

research proposal competently. Such ad hoc advisors are not members of the LC-REB and are not entitled to vote on research proposals.

Page 11 of 19

8.6 Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panels

- 8.6.1 Each Dean may appoint a Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel for the purposes of ethical review of course-based research activities involving humans intended solely for pedagogical purposes.
- 8.6.2 A Dean wishing to establish a Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel because a significant number of their courses or programs require students to do research involving humans for pedagogical purposes shall develop a review process and submit it to the LC-REB for review and consultation before its implementation. Deans shall submit any proposed changes to the review process for Course-Based Research Ethics Preview Panels to the LC-REB for review and consultation.
- 8.6.3 Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panels will adhere to the organizational guidelines used to establish and operate the LC-REB as well as any additional requirements provided by the LC-REB.
- 8.6.4 Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel membership will consist of at least three (3) members from the Dean's Faculty who shall have the appropriate experience, expertise, training, and resources required to review the ethical acceptability of student course-based research in accordance with *TCPS*2.
- 8.6.5 The Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall not review student research that is part of a researcher's own research program. This must be reviewed by the LC-REB, as per 5.1.2.2 above.
- 8.6.6 The Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall carefully consider the safety of student researchers in addition to reviewing the ethical treatment of human subjects within student course-based research.
- 8.6.7 The Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall only approve course-based research that involves minimal risk to subjects.
- 8.6.8 A quorum for the Course-Based Research Ethics Review Panel shall be two (2) members.

8.7 Scholarly Review as Part of Ethics Review

- 8.7.1 The LC-REB shall satisfy itself that the design of a research project that poses more than minimal risk is capable of addressing the questions being asked in the research.
- 8.7.2 The extent of the review for scholarly standards that is required for biomedical research that does not involve more than minimal risk will vary according to the research being carried out.
- 8.7.3 Research in the humanities and the social sciences that poses, at most, minimal risk shall not normally be required by the LC-REB to be peer reviewed.

8.7.4 Research that may have a negative effect on public figures in politics, business, labour, the arts, or other walks of life, or on organizations should not be blocked solely by the use of harms-benefits analysis or

Page 12 of 19

because of the potentially negative nature of the findings. See also Article 3.6 and the related discussion of "critical inquiry" in Chapter 3 of *TCPS2*.

8.8 Review of Multicentred Research

Langara College LC-REB is responsible for ethical acceptability of research undertaken within its jurisdiction. In case of any ethical concerns, Langara College's LC-REB may communicate these concerns and coordinate with other institutional REBs that will consider the project.

8.9 Review of Research in Other Jurisdictions or Countries

Research under the auspices of the LC-REB that is to be performed outside the jurisdiction of Langara College or outside Canada shall undergo prospective ethics review by both the LC-REB and by the appropriate local REB where such exists. The LC-REB shall satisfy itself that the requirements of this policy and *TCPS2* are met.

9. REQUIREMENT FOR FREE AND INFORMED CONSENT

- 9.1 Subject to exceptions noted in this policy, research governed by this policy may begin only if:
 - prospective subjects, or authorized third parties, have been given the opportunity to give free and informed consent about participation; and
 - their free and informed consent has been given and is maintained throughout their participation in the research.
- 9.2 Evidence of free and informed consent by the subject or authorized third party should ordinarily be obtained in writing. Where written consent is culturally unacceptable, or where there are good reasons for not recording consent in writing, the procedures used to seek free and informed consent shall be documented. The LC-REB may approve a consent procedure that does not include, or that alters, some or all of the elements of informed consent set forth above, or waive the requirement to obtain informed consent, provided that the LC-REB finds and documents that:
 - (a) The research involves no more than minimal risk to the subjects;
 - (b) The waiver or alteration is unlikely to adversely affect the welfare of the subjects;
 - (c) It is impossible or impracticable to carry out the research and to answer the research question properly, given the research design, if the prior consent of the subject is required;

(d) Whenever possible and appropriate, after participation, or at a later time during the study, subjects will be debriefed and provided with additional pertinent information in accordance with *TCPS2* Articles 3.2

Page 13 of 19

- and 3.4, at which point they will have the opportunity to refuse consent in accordance with *TCPS2* Article 3.1;and
- (e) The research does not involve a therapeutic intervention, or other clinical or diagnostic interventions.
- 9.3 In studies including randomization and blinding in clinical trials, neither the research subjects nor those responsible for their care know which treatment the subjects are receiving before the project commences. Such research is not regarded as a waiver or alteration of the requirements for consent if subjects are informed of the probability of being randomly assigned to one arm of the study or another.
- 9.4 Free and informed consent must be voluntarily given, without manipulation, undue influence or coercion.
- 9.5 Naturalistic Observation. LC-REB review is normally required for research involving naturalistic observation. However, research involving observation of participants in, for example, political rallies, demonstrations or public meetings should not require LC-REB review since it can be expected that the participants are seeking public visibility. See section 5.3.2 above.
- 9.6 Informing Potential Subjects.
 - 9.6.1 Researchers shall provide, to prospective subjects or authorized third parties, full and frank disclosure of all information necessary for making a free and informed decision to participate in a research project..

 Throughout the process of free and informed consent, the researcher must ensure that prospective subjects are given adequate opportunities to discuss and contemplate their participation. Subject to the exceptions noted in this policy, at the commencement of the process of free and informed consent, researchers or their qualified designated representatives shall provide prospective subjects with the following:
 - (a) information that the individual is being invited to participate in a research project;
 - (b) a statement of the research purpose in plain language, the identity of the researcher, the identity of the funder or sponsor, the expected duration and nature of participation, a description of research procedures, and an explanation of the responsibilities of the subject;
 - (c) a plain language description of all reasonably foreseeable risks and potential benefits, both to the subjects and in general, that may arise from research participation;

- (d) an assurance that prospective subjects:
 - are under no obligation to participate; are free to withdraw at any time without prejudice to pre-existing entitlements;

Page 14 of 19

- will be given, in a timely manner throughout the course of the research project, information that is relevant to their decision to continue or withdraw from participation; and
- will be given information on the subject's right to request the withdrawal of data or human biological materials, including any limitations on the feasibility of that withdrawal;
- (e) information concerning the possibility of commercialization of research findings, and the presence of any real, potential or perceived conflicts of interest on the part of the researchers, their institutions or the research sponsors;
- (f) the measures to be undertaken for dissemination of research results and whether subjects will be identified directly or indirectly;
- (g) the identity and contact information of a qualified designated representative who can explain scientific or scholarly aspects of the research to subjects;
- (h) the identity and contact information of the appropriate individual(s) outside the research team whom subjects may contact regarding possible ethical issues in the research;
- (i) an indication of what information will be collected about subjects and for what purposes; an indication of who will have access to information collected about the identity of subjects, a description of how confidentiality will be protected (see *TCPS2* Article 5.2), a description of the anticipated uses of data; and information indicating who may have a duty to disclose information collected, and to whom such disclosures could be made:
- (j) information about any payments, including incentives for subjects, reimbursement for participation-related expenses and compensation for injury;
- (k) a statement to the effect that, by consenting, subjects have not waived any rights to legal recourse in the event of research-related harm; and
- (l) in clinical trials, information on stopping rules and when researchers may remove subjects from trial.
- 9.6.2 Following consent, subjects must be presented with new information, including incidental findings from the research, that may affect their willingness to continue in the study.

- 9.7 Subject to applicable legal requirements, the LC-REB shall ensure that individuals who are not legally competent shall only be asked to become research subjects when, as a minimum, the following conditions are met:
 - (a) the researcher involves subjects who lack the capacity to consent on their own behalf to the greatest extent possible in the decision-making process;

Page 15 of 19

- (b) the researcher seeks and maintains consent from authorized third parties in accordance with the best interests of the persons concerned;
- (c) the authorized third party is not the researcher or any other member of the research team:
- (d) the researcher demonstrates that the research is being carried out for the subject's direct benefit, or for the benefit of other persons in the same category. If the research does not have the potential for direct benefit to the subject but only for the benefit of the other persons in the same category, the researcher shall demonstrate that the research will expose the subject to only a minimal risk and minimal burden, and demonstrate how the subject's welfare will be protected throughout the participation in research; and
- (e) when authorization for participation was granted by an authorized third party, and a subject acquires or regains capacity during the course of the research, the researcher shall promptly seek the subject's consent as a condition of continuing participation.
- 9.8 Where an authorized third party has consented on behalf of an individual who lacks legal capacity, but that person has some ability to understand the significance of the research, the researcher shall ascertain the wishes of that individual with respect to participation. Prospective subjects' dissent will preclude their participation. Regardless of the individual's ability to understand the significance of the research, dissent must always be respected where participation in the research has no prospect of direct benefit to the subject.
- 9.9 The principles of informed consent can be found in *TCPS2*, <u>Chapter 3.</u> In general, research is viewed as a partnership between the researcher and the research subjects.

10 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 10.1 Research involving Individuals or Groups in Vulnerable Circumstances
 - 10.1.1 Special measures may need to be adopted to ensure informed, voluntary consent of vulnerable individuals or groups participating in research. In particular, researchers must be alert to the potential for undue influence affecting their decisions to participate, or continue to participate, in research (e.g., false or unrealistic hopes of benefit from participating in research, coercive threats or undue inducements made by others to

- encourage participation in research, the influence of power relationships including relations between employers and employees, teachers and students, commanding officers and members of the military, or correctional officers and prisoners).
- 10.1.2 Individuals or groups whose circumstances make them vulnerable may require special measures to ensure their safety in the context of research.

Page 16 of 19

- 10.1.3 Vulnerable individuals and groups shall not be inappropriately or automatically excluded from participation in research on the basis of their circumstances.
- 10.1.4 The assent/dissent of incompetent individuals in vulnerable circumstances must be carefully monitored. See section 9.8.

10.2 Research involving Children

- 10.2.1 Informed consent of the parent or guardians of the child must normally be obtained before using minors in research. In school, camps or other group settings, consent of the Principal, Director and/or other appropriate authority must also be obtained.
- 10.2.2 Children must be individually given the opportunity to refuse to participate or to withdraw. See section 9.8.
- 10.3 Research involving Indigenous People and other Cultures and Ethnic Groups
 - 10.3.1 Research involving individuals and/or communities in culture(s) and ethnic group(s) require careful consideration. Researchers should explain that they have come to learn about their way of life, languages, customs and beliefs, and must be respectful of differences at all times. Permission/approval by a community(ies)/group(s) may be necessary. See *TCPS2*, Chapter 9, "Research Involving the First Nations, Inuit, and Metis Peoples of Canada" and in particular the discussion in Section C of the "Requirement of Community Engagement in Aboriginal Research." Other research policies may also be helpful. See, for example, *Ownership*, *Control*, *Access and Possession* (National Aboriginal Health Organization, 2007).
 - 10.3.2. When researchers outside their own culture are operating from a position of advantage, they have particular responsibility to research subjects. There is a responsibility that research subjects shall not be exposed to legal sanctions, ridicule or danger. Also, there is a responsibility to portray customs sensitively.
 - 10.3.3 A communication gap may make informed consent impossible, as the people under study may be unable to estimate the risks to their reputations, or potential damage to their descendants. Absence of informed consent places additional responsibility and restrictions on researchers. Researchers must satisfy the review concerning these safeguards in the methodology.

10.4 Deception in Research

- 10.4.1 Deception is not permitted when the risk of harm to the subject is greater than minimal risk or when it is not possible to advise subjects subsequently as to the reasons why the deception was necessary.
- 10.4.2 Deception should only be used when significant scientific advance could result and no other methodology would suffice.

Page 17 of 19

- 10.4.3 Methodological requirements of a study may make the use of concealment or deception necessary. Before conducting such a study, the investigator has a special responsibility to determine whether the use of such techniques is justified by the study's prospective scientific, educational, or applied value and determine whether alternative procedures are available that do not use concealment or deception; and also ensure that the subjects are provided with sufficient explanation as soon as possible.
- 10.4.4 After the data are collected, the investigator shall provide the subject with information about the nature of the study, offer an opportunity to discuss the deception with the subject, and attempt to remove any misconceptions that may have arisen and to re-establish any trust that may have been lost. Subjects must be informed that they may contact the LC-REB if they have any concerns about the use of deception. Where scientific or humane values justify delaying or withholding this information, the investigator incurs a special responsibility to monitor the research and to ensure that there are no damaging consequences for the subject.

10.5 Critical Inquiry

10.5.1 Permission is not required from an organization in order to conduct research on that organization. If a researcher engages the participation of members of an organization without the organization's permission, the researcher shall inform participants of any foreseeable risk that may be posed by their participation. See *TCPS2* Article 3.6 and the related discussion of "critical inquiry."

11 RESEARCH IN EMERGENCY HEALTH SITUATIONS

Subject to all applicable legal and regulatory requirements, research involving medical emergencies shall be conducted only if it addresses the emergency needs of the individuals involved, and then only in accordance with criteria established in advance of such research by the LC-REB. The LC-REB may allow research that involves medical emergencies to be carried out without the consent of subjects, or of their authorized third party, if all of the following apply:

(a) a serious threat to the prospective subject requires immediate intervention;

- (b) either no standard efficacious care exists or the research offers a realistic possibility of direct benefit to the subject in comparison with standard care;
- (c) either the risk is not greater than that involved in standard efficacious care, or it is clearly justified by the prospect for direct benefits to the subject;
- (d) the prospective subject is unconscious or lacks capacity to understand the risks, methods and purposes of the research project;
- (e) third party authorization cannot be secured in sufficient time, despite diligent and documented efforts to do so: and

Page 18 of 19

(f) no relevant prior directive by the subject is known to exist.

When a previously incapacitated subject regains capacity, or when an authorized third party is found, consent shall be sought promptly for continuation in the project, and for subsequent examinations or tests related to the research project.

12 REVIEW OF POLICY

This policy shall be reviewed two years after the appointment of the initial members to the LC-REB and at least once every five (5) years thereafter.

Page 19 of 19

APPENDIX A



Langara College Research Ethics Board

Application for Ethics Approval for Research Involving Humans

Instructions:

- 1. Download this application and complete it on your computer. Hand written applications will not be accepted.
- 2. Please refer to the appropriate college policies before completing this application.
- 3. Submit the original and one (1) copy of this completed, signed application with all attachments to: Chair, Langara College Research Ethics Board, c/o Office of the President.
- 4. If you require assistance, contact the LC-REB Chair.
- 5. Incomplete applications cannot be processed and will be returned to the applicant.

A.	Principal Investigator		
	There can be only one local Principal Investigator. For co-i	nvestigator and other	research team members,
	provide their name(s) and contact information below in Se	ction B, Other Investi	gator(s) & Research Team.
	Last Name:First Nam	ne:	
	Department/Faculty:Email:		
	Phone:Fax:		
	Mailing Address:		
	Title/Position:		
В.	Project Information		
	Project Title:		
	Anticipated Start Date:	Anticipated End Date	:
	Geographic location(s) of study:		_
	Keywords: 123.		4
	For Research Ethics Board use	Application No.	
	Research Ethics Board Chair Approval:	Date:	
	Start Date:	Expiry date:	File closed:

Adapted for use with permission from the University of Victoria and the University of British Columbia

APPENDIX A Page 1 of 16

Other Investigator(s) and Research Team:

(Include co-investigators, students, employees, volunteers, community organizations).

Contact Name	Role in Research Project	Institutional Affiliation	Email or Phone

C. Agreement and Signatures

Date

Principal Investigator affirms that:

- I have read this application and it is complete and/accurate.
- The research will be conducted in accordance with Langara College regulations, policies and procedures governing the ethical conduct of research involving humans.
- The conduct of the research will not commence until ethics approval has been granted.
- The researcher(s) will seek further LC-REB review for any changes to the approved research.
- Adequate supervision will be provided for students and/or staff.

Principal Investigator	
Signature	
Print Name	-
Date	-
Dean	
I affirm that adequate research infrastructure is that the researchers are qualified to conduct the	available for the conduct and completion of this research and research.
Signature	-
Print Name	-

APPENDIX A Page 2 of 16

	Project Funding		
	Have you applied for funding for this project?	Yes	□No
	Has notice of award been received?	Yes	No
	If yes to either, please complete the following:		
	Source(s) of Project Funding	Project Titl	e used in Funding Application(s)
	Will this project receive funding from US Funder	s (e.g. NIH)?	Yes No
	If yes, provide further information:		
Ε.	Level of Risk		
	For the purposes of this Policy, "minimal risk" remagnitude of possible harms implied by participarticipants in those aspects of their everyday li	ation in the resea	rch is no greater than those encounte
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip	ation in the resea fe that relate to th	rch is no greater than those encounte ne research. (TCPS2, p. 23)
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li	ation in the resea fe that relate to th	rch is no greater than those encounte ne research. (TCPS2, p. 23)
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li Based on this definition, do you believe your res	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as	rch is no greater than those encountene research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research?
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li Based on this definition, do you believe your res Yes No	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as	rch is no greater than those encountene research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research?
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li Based on this definition, do you believe your res Yes No	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as	rch is no greater than those encountene research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research?
	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li Based on this definition, do you believe your res Yes No	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as	rch is no greater than those encountene research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research?
F.	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday li Based on this definition, do you believe your res Yes No	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as	rch is no greater than those encountene research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research?
F.	magnitude of possible harms implied by particip participants in those aspects of their everyday library and the second of their everyday library and the second of their everyday library and the second of the seco	ation in the resea fe that relate to the earch qualifies as risk stated in the T	rch is no greater than those encounte ne research. (TCPS2, p. 23) "minimal risk" research? "CPS2 definition above:

G.	Other A	pprovals				
	Do you need to seek approval from other agencies, community groups, local governments, Aboriginal communities etc.?					
		☐ Yes ☐ No				
	(Please attach proof of having made a request for permission and any approval letter already received. Please forward further approvals upon receiving them.)					
н.	Descrip	otion of Research Project				
	1. Pu	urpose and Rationale of Research				
	Br	riefly describe in lay language suitable for review by non-scientific LC-REB members:				
	(Please use 150 words or less.)					
	1a	a. The research purpose and objective(s) (please attach a copy protocol)				
	1b	The importance and anticipated contributions of the research				
l.	Recruitr	ment_				
	1 Recru	itment and Selection of Subjects				
		Inclusion Criteria: Briefly describe the target population(s) for recruitment. Ensure that all subject groups are identified (e.g. group 1 - teachers, group 2 - administrators, group 3 - parents).				
	1b.	Why is this population of interest?				

1c.		at is the expected number of subjects? (For multi-site studies, include total number of subjects the number of subjects that are expected to be recruited locally.)	
1d:		usion criteria: Describe which subjects will be excluded from participation, and explain the criter their exclusion.	ria
1e.	Pro	vide a detailed description of your exact recruitment process. Explain:	
	i)	Who will recruit/contact subjects (e.g. researcher, assistant, third party)	
	ii)	Describe any relationship between the investigator(s) and subjects(s) (e.g. instructor-student, manager-employee). Complete item 3 if there is a power over relationship.	
	iii)	Describe how recruitment will be conducted (e.g. in person, by telephone, letter, snowball sampling, word of mouth, advertisement) and from what source(s) will the subjects be recruite If applicable, include how contact information for subjects will be obtained.(Note that "cold-calling" is not normally permitted).	ed.
	iv)	Describe the steps in the recruitment process, including how subjects will be consenting.	
	v)		
		Recruitment Materials Checklist:	
		As applicable, attach all documents referenced in this section (check those that are appended):	
		Script(s) – in-person, telephone, 3 rd party, e-mail, etc.	
		☐ Invitation to participate	
		Advertisement, Poster, Flyer	

2	D٠	١١٨	- Δ	r_(٦.	ıΔı
_	Р() \/\	-	r – t	Jν	rer

Are you or any of	f your co-researchers in any way in a position of authority or power over subjects?
Examples of a "p	ower-over" situation include teachers-students, therapists-clients, supervisors-
employees and p	ossibly researcher-relative or researcher-close friend.
Yes	☐ No ☐ Varies
If yes or	varies, describe below:
The nati	ure of the relationship.
i) V	Why it is necessary to conduct research with participants over whom you have power.
•	What safeguards (steps) will be taken to minimize undue influence ,coercion or potential irm.
•	low the dual-role relationship and the safeguards will be explained to potential articipants.

J. Data Collection Methods

1. Data Collection

1a. Which of the following methods will be used to collect data? (Check all that apply.)

☐ Interviewing participants:			
in-person	Conducting group interviews or discussions (including focus groups)		
☐ by telephone —	_		
using web-based technology (explain)	Uther, describe		
Attach draft review	w questions.		
Administering a questionnaire or survey:	Attach questionnaire or survey:		
☐ In person ☐ by telephone	standardized (one with established reliability and validity)		
mail back email	non-standardized (one that is un-		
web-based Other, describe:	tested, adapted or open-ended)		
Administering a computerized task (describe	e in 1b)		
Observing participants			
{In 1b, describe who and what will be observed. Include	where observations will take place.}		
Recording of participants using:	Will images be used in disseminating		
audio video	results?		
photos or slides	Yes (If yes, please include release to use participant images in consent materials.) No		
Analyzing secondary data or secondary use of data (Refers to information/data that was originally gathered for a purpose other than the proposed research and is now being considered for use in research., e.g. patient or school records, personal writings, lesson plans).			
Secondary data involving anonymized information (Information/data is stripped of identifiers by another researcher or institution before being shared with the applicant; information/data is only anonymous if it cannot be re-linked to subjects).			
Secondary data with identifying information (Data contains names and other information that can be linked to individuals, e.g., student report cards, employment records, meeting minutes, personal writings).			
In item 1b describe the source of the data was obtained from the individuals for use	·		
Using human samples (e.g., saliva, urine, block	od, hair)		
Ensure that you comply with Biosafety regulor biological materials. Please consult the Conj			
Other, specify:			

	List all of the research instruments and assessment tools, and in an appendix provide copies of all instruments. If not yet available, provide drafts or sample items/questions. For multi-method or other complex research, use the following sections in ways best suited to explain your project.					
1c.	Where will participation take residence, participant's workp		n, e.g., Langara classroom, private			
1d.	How much time will be require	ed of participants?				
	ta Collection Methods Checklis					
As —	applicable, attach all document Standardized Instrument(s)	s referenced in this section <i>(ch</i>	eck those that are appended):			
	Survey(s), Questionnaire(s)	Overtions				
	Interview and/or Focus Group Observation Tools	Questions				
L. Beı	le Inconveniences, Discomfonefits ntify any potential or known be					
Кев	ep in mind that the anticipated l	benefits should outweigh any p	otential risks.			
	To the participant	To society	To state of knowledge			

1b. Provide a sequential description of the procedures/methods to be used in your research study.

2.	Inconveniences Identify and describe any known or potential inconveniences to participants: Consider all potential inconveniences, including time devoted to the research.					
3.	Estimate of Risks, including Discomforts, Physical, Psychological, Economic or Social Risks. (These risks can include such things as embarrassment, fatigue, stigmatization, and loss of status or privacy)					
	3a. What are the risks?					
	3b. What will you do to try to minimize or prevent the risks?					
	3c. How will you respond if the risk of harm occurs? (e.g. what is your plan?)					
Со	mpensation and Remuneration					
1.	Compensation					
	1a: Is there any compensation for participating in the research (e.g. reimbursement for transportation, parking, childcare, etc.)?					
	☐ Yes ☐ No					
	1b: Is there any remuneration (i.e. payment for time and effort) for participating in the (e.g. gifts, honoraria, bonus points)					
	☐Yes ☐ No					
	If yes, explain the nature of the remuneration and why you consider it to be necessary:					
	1b. Explain what will happen to compensation and/or remuneration if participants					
	withdraw during or any time after data collection (e.g. compensation and/or					
	remuneration must be pro-rated, full compensation/remuneration will be given, etc.).					

L.

M. Free and Informed Consent

The following questions address the competence of participants to give consent, the process used in your research to obtain consent, ongoing consent, and the participants' right to withdraw.

1. Participant's Capacity (Competence) to Provide Free and Informed Consent

Identify your prospective participants: (Check all that apply.)

Competent	Non-Competent
☐ Competent adults ☐ A vulnerable population (<i>e.g. Inmates, children</i>)	 Non-competent adults: □ Consent of legally authorized representative will be obtained □ Assent of the participant will be obtained
 ☐ Competent youth ☐ Youth 13 to 18 (incl.): consent of youth will be obtained, and parental agreement will be sought ☐ Youth 13 to 15 (incl.): consent of youth will be obtained, and parental agreement will be sought 	 Non-competent youth 13-18 (incl.): □ Consent of parent/guardian will be obtained □ Assent of the youth will be obtained
 Youth 13 to 15 (incl.): consent of youth will be obtained, and parental agreement will NOT be sought Youth 16 to 18 (incl.): consent of youth will be obtained, and parental agreement will NOT be sought 	

	Competent children Children under 13: consent of child will be obtained, and parent/guardian agreement will be obtained Other, explain:	Non-competent children under 13:☐ Consent of parent/guardian☐ Assent of the child will be obtained
2. lı	nformed Consent	
	 If you are you requesting a waiver or alteration (e.g. your research complies with TCPS2 Article 3.7 and 3 Describe the exact steps you will follow in the process. 	.8.
3.	Means of Obtaining Consent: (Check all that apply, attach copies of all consent material Signed consent. (Attach consent script(s) and consent Verbal consent. (Attach information letter(s). Explain and how verbal consent will be documented.) Implied consent (e.g. anonymous, mail back or web- Other means. Specify.	t form(s).) I below why written consent is not appropriate
	Consent will not be obtained.	
4.	Ongoing Consent Ongoing consent is required for research that occurs ove activities and or extended periods of time (i.e., more than interviews, review of transcripts, etc.)	·
	4a. Will your research occur over multiple occasions or a	n extended period of time?
	Yes No 4b. If yes, describe how you will obtain ongoing consent	

	5.	Subject's Right to Withdraw Free and informed consent requires that participants have the right to withdraw at any time without consequence or explanation.
		Describe what participants will be told about their right to withdraw from the research at any time.
	6.	What will happen to the person's data if s/he withdraws part way through the study?
		Free and Informed Consent Checklist:
		As applicable, attach all documents referenced in this section (check those that are appended):
		Consent Form(s) – Include forms for all participant groups and data gathering methods
		Letter(s) of Information for Implied Consent
		☐ Verbal Consent Script
N.	And	onymity and Confidentiality
	1. /	Anonymity
		Anonymity means that no one, including the principal investigator, is able to associate responses or other data with individual participants.
		1a. Will the participants be anonymous in the data gathering phase of research?
		Yes No
		1b. Will the participants be anonymous in the dissemination of results (e.g. use of video, photos)?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
	2. (Confidentiality
		Confidentiality means the protection of the person's identity and the protection, access, control and security of his or her data and personal information during the recruitment, data collection, reporting of findings, dissemination of data (if relevant) and after the study is completed (e.g., storage, destruction).
		2a. Will the confidentiality of the participants and their data be protected?
		Yes, completely (no exceptions, legal or otherwise)
		Yes, with limits (Check relevant boxes below.)
		Limits due to the nature of group activities (e.g. focus groups) the researcher can not guarantee confidentiality

	Limits due to context: The nature or size of the sample from which participants are drawn makes it possible to identify individual participants (e.g. school principals in a small town)
	Limits due to selection: The procedures for recruiting or selecting participants may compromise the confidentiality of participants (e.g. participants are identified or referred to the study by a person outside the research team)
	Limits due to legal requirements for reporting Other:
	No - If confidentiality will not be protected, explain why. If you are asking the participants to waive their right to confidentiality (you plan to identify them with their data), explain what steps will be taken to respect their privacy, if any.
2b.	If confidentiality will be protected, describe the procedures to be used to protect the identity of participants and for preserving the confidentiality of their data (e.g. assignment of unique study numbers to subjects, use of pseudonyms, changing identifying information and features, coding sheet, use of master lists linking subjects to coded research data forms, etc).
2 c.	If there are limits to confidentiality due to the methods (e.g. group interview), sample size or legal requirements (e.g. reporting child abuse) so that you cannot guarantee confidentiality, explain what the limits are and how you will disclose them to the participants:
	d Disposal of Data) of Data
1 a.	How will all the data be used to support the research objectives?
1b.	Will your research data be analyzed, now or in future, by yourself for purposes other than this research project?
1c.	Yes No Possibly If yes or possibly, how will you obtain consent for future data analysis from the participants (e.g. request future use in current consent form)?
1 d.	Will your research data be analyzed, now or in future, by other persons for purposes other than explained in this application?
	Yes No Possibly

1e.		ill you obtain consent from the participants for future data uest future use in current consent form)?
2. Comm	nercial Purposes	
2a.	Do you anticipate that this research wi	ill be used for a commercial purpose?
	Yes No	
2b.	If yes, explain how the data will be use	d for a commercial purpose:
3. Maint	enance and Disposal of Data	
Desc rese	cribe your plans for preserving, protecting	g and destroying all the types of data associated with the ecordings, electronic recordings, coded data, master lists)
3a.	means of storing data (e.g., a locked fil	ling cabinet, password protected computer files):
3b.	location of storing data:	
Зс.	duration of data storage (if data will be	e kept indefinitely, explain):
3d.	methods of destroying or archiving dat	ta:
4. Disse r	nination do you anticipate disseminating the rese	earch results? (<i>Check all that apply)</i>
	Directly to participants	Thesis/Dissertation/Class presentation
i	Presentations at scholarly meetings	Published article, chapter or book
I	nternet	Media (e.g. newspaper, radio, TV)
	Other, explain:	

Р.	Res	searche	rs
	1.		t of Interest
		1a. <i>i</i>	Are you or any of the research team members in a perceived, actual or potential conflict of interest in regard to this research project (e.g. in relation to participants, partners in research, private interests in companies or other entities)? (See Policy B3005: Conflict of Interest Related to Research.)
			Yes No
		1b. I	f yes, please provide details of the conflict and how you will manage it:
	2.	Resear	cher(s) Qualifications
		•	of your research methods, the nature of the research and the characteristics of the participants, aining or qualifications do you and/or your research team have?
	3.		Researcher(s) pes this research study pose any risks to the researchers, assistants and data collectors?
			there are any risks, explain the nature of the risks, how they will be minimized, and how they will responded to if they occur.
Q.	Fur	ther or	Special Questions
	1.		le Site Research
		1a. [Does this project involve collection of data at multiple sites within Canada requiring the approval of other sites, bodies or organizations (e.g., other ethics board(s))?
			☐ Yes ☐ No
		1b. I	f you responded Yes to 1a. above, list the sites, bodies or organizations:

	2a.	Will this study be conducted in a country other than Canada?
		Yes No
	2b.	If yes, describe how the laws, customs and regulations of the host country will be addressed:
3.	Other	Information
	If the	e is anything else you would like to inform the LC-REB about this study, provide the details below:

2. International Research

Attachments*

*Ensure that all applicable attachments are included with all cop of your application.	ies
Incomplete applications will not be processed and will be returned the applicant.	ed to
As applicable, label and attach the following documents (check those that	are appended):
Section I - Recruitment Materials:	
Script(s) – in-person, telephone, 3 rd party, e-mail, etc.	
☐ Invitation to participate	
Advertisement, Poster, Flyer	
Section J - Data Collection Methods:	
Standardized Instrument(s)	
Survey(s), Questionnaire(s)	
☐ Interview and/or Focus Group Questions	
Observation Tools	
Section M - Free and Informed Consent:	
Consent Form(s) – Include forms for all participant groups and data ga	thering methods
Letter(s) of Information (including for Implied Consent)	
Verbal Consent Script	
Other documents	
Approval from external organizations (or proof of having made a requipermission)	est for
Permission to gain access to confidential documents or materials	
Copies of external peer reviews	
Copies of protocol	
Human Tissues form	
Other, please describe:	